arXiv:hep-th/9207035v1 12 Jul 1992

ITP-SB-92-34

Classification of Superconformal Algebras with Quadratic Non-Linearity

E.S.Fradkin and V.Ya.Linetsky

Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N.Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky pr. 53, Moscow 117924

Institute for Theoretical Physics, SUNY at Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840

and

Physics Department, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI48109

Abstract

A unified treatment of both superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras with quadratic non-linearity is given. General formulas describing their structure are found by solving the Jacobi identities. A complete classification of quasisuperconformal and $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-graded algebras is obtained and in addition to the previously known cases five exceptional quasisuperconformal algebras and a series of $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-superconformal algebras containing affine $\widehat{sp}_2 \oplus \widehat{osp}(N|2M)$ are constructed.

In our previous papers [1] and [2] a complete classification of superconformal algebras with quadratic non-linearity :JJ: in the anti-commutator of two supercurrents has been obtained. It is essentially based on the classification of little finite-dimensional conformal Lie superalgebras.

Recently another category of non-linear extensions of the Virasoro algebra has appeared in the literature [3]-[6] in the context of quantum Hamiltonian reduction, 2D gravity and related matters. These are so-called quasisuperconformal algebras involving dimension-3/2 bosonic currents in some representation ρ of a Lie algebra g along with the conventional stress-energy and $\widehat{g}$ affine Kac-Moody (KM) currents. This category of algebras shares many properties with conventional superconformal algebras obeying the standard spin-statistics relation and, in particular, quasisuperconformal algebras also can be completely classified.

The goal of the present paper being a sequel of Ref.[1] hereafter referred to as (I) is to give a unified treatment for both superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras and to obtain general formulas determining their structure. At the beginning we will concentrate on the situation when g is simple and ρ is irreducible (throughout the main part of this paper we will be concerned with complex algebras, reality conditions being discussed in conclusion).

Suppose we are given an irreducible representation ρ of a simple Lie algebra g with the basis $\lambda^a = (\lambda^a)^\alpha_\beta \equiv \lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \beta}$, $[\lambda^a, \lambda^b] = f^{abc}\lambda^c$, $a, b = 1, 2, \ldots, D := dim g$, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, d := dim\rho$. The basis is chosen so that the structure constants are totally skew. The Killing metric is then $g^{ab} = -f^{acd}f^{bcd} = -C_v\delta^{ab}$, where C_v is an eigenvalue of the second Casimir in the adjoint representation of g related to the dual Coxeter number $h^\vee_g$ by $C_v = \psi^2 h^\vee_g$, where ψ^2 is a square of the longest root of g. The Dynkin index i_ρ of the representation ρ is defined according to the relation $i_\rho = dC_\rho/D\psi^2$, where C_ρ is an eigenvalue of the second Casimir in the representation ρ, i.e. $\lambda^a\lambda^a = C_\rho \mathbf{I}$ (and $tr(\lambda^a\lambda^b) = -i_\rho\psi^2\delta^{ab}$). (Note that our definition of the Dynkin index coincides with one in Ref.[7] (in particular $i_{adg} = h^\vee_g$) and is one half of the definition in Ref.[8].) Greek indices are raised and lowered with the help of a g-invariant metric $\eta^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\eta_{\alpha\gamma}\eta^{\beta\gamma} = \delta^\beta_\alpha$ which is either symmetric or anti-symmetric $\eta^{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon\eta^{\beta\alpha}$, depending on either ρ is orthogonal ($\varepsilon = -1$) or symplectic ($\varepsilon = 1$).

Then the most general form of a superconformal ($\varepsilon = -1$) or quasisuperconformal ($\varepsilon = 1$) algebra associated to a given pair (g,ρ) reads as follows (note that in this paper we deal only with simple algebras; the definition of simplicity for non-linear algebras see in (I))

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}m(m^2-1)\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{1a}$$

$$[L_m, J^a_n] = -nJ^a_{m+n}, \tag{1b}$$

$$[J^a_m, J^b_n] = f^{abc}J^c_{m+n} - \frac{k\psi^2}{2}m\delta^{ab}\delta_{m+n,0}, \tag{1c}$$

$$[L_m, G^\alpha_r] = (\frac{m}{2} - r)G^\alpha_{m+r}, \tag{1d}$$

$$[J^a_m, G^\alpha_r] = -\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \beta}G^\beta_{m+r}, \tag{1e}$$

$$[G^\alpha_r, G^\beta_s]_\varepsilon = 2\eta^{\alpha\beta}L_{r+s} + \frac{\sigma}{2}(r-s)\lambda^{a,\alpha\beta}J^a_{r+s}$$

$$+\frac{b}{2}(r^2-\frac{1}{4})\eta^{\alpha\beta}\delta_{r+s,0}+\gamma P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}(J^aJ^b)_{r+s}, \tag{1f}$$

$$(J^aJ^b)_m=\sum_{n\in Z}:J_n^aJ_{m-n}^b:, \tag{2}$$

where $[A,B]_\varepsilon=AB-\varepsilon BA$ and $\lambda^{a,\alpha\beta}\stackrel{\rm def}{=}\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \gamma}\eta^{\beta\gamma}=\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \gamma}\eta^{\beta\gamma}+\varepsilon\lambda^{a,\beta}_{\ \ \gamma}\eta^{\alpha\gamma})$. Here L_m, $m\in Z$, are Virasoro generators, J_m^a, $m\in Z$, form an affine KM algebra $\hat{g}$, and G_r^α, $r\in Z(+\frac{1}{2})$, are dimension 3/2 fermionic (for $\varepsilon=-1$) or bosonic (for $\varepsilon=1$) currents. The tensor $P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}$ is an interwining operator, $S^2adg\stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow}S^2\rho(\Lambda^2\rho)$ for $\varepsilon=-1$ ($\varepsilon=1$), and therefore is fixed by Schur's lemma up to $p=dimHom_g(S^2adg,\,S^2\rho\ (\text{or}\ \Lambda^2\rho))$ arbitrary constants. Thus conformal symmetry and g-invariance fix the structure of the algebra (and the corresponding OPE) up to a number of constants: Virasoro central charge c, (conventionally normalized [7]) KM level k,b (note that our normalization of b in the present paper differs on one half from the normalization in Refs.[1], [2]), σ, and p constants inside P (we explicitly display the overall normalization γ of P). These quantities are to be fixed by the Jacobi identities which reduce to the following set of relations among structure constants:

$$\bullet(G,G,G)$$

$$P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{b,\gamma}_{\ \ \delta}+P_{ab}^{\beta\gamma}\lambda^{b,\alpha}_{\ \ \delta}+P_{ab}^{\gamma\alpha}\lambda^{b,\beta}_{\ \ \delta}=0, \tag{3a}$$

$$2\eta^{\alpha\beta}\delta^\gamma_\delta-\eta^{\gamma\alpha}\delta^\beta_\delta-\eta^{\beta\gamma}\delta^\alpha_\delta-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\lambda^{a,\beta\gamma}\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \delta}-\lambda^{a,\gamma\alpha}\lambda^{a,\beta}_{\ \ \delta})=-\gamma P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{a,\gamma}_{\ \ \rho}\lambda^{b,\rho}_{\ \ \delta}; \tag{3b}$$

$$\bullet(L,G,G)$$

$$(c-\frac{3}{2}b)\eta^{\alpha\beta}=\frac{\gamma k\psi^2}{2}P_{aa}^{\alpha\beta}; \tag{3c}$$

$$\bullet(J,G,G)$$

$$b=\frac{\sigma k\psi^2}{2}, \tag{3d}$$

$$2\eta^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{ab}-\frac{\sigma}{2}(\lambda^{a,\beta}_{\ \ \gamma}\lambda^{b,\alpha\gamma}-\varepsilon\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \gamma}\lambda^{b,\beta\gamma})-\gamma k\psi^2P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}=\gamma P_{cd}^{\alpha\beta}f^{ace}f^{bde}. \tag{3e}$$

Note that terms in the right hand sides of Eqs.(3b), (3c) and (3e) are absent when the Poisson bracket algebra is concerned. They represent essentially quantum effects of operator normal ordering. Since the existence of the Poisson bracket algebra is a necessary condition in order the quantum algebra in question to exist, one finds a necessary condition for the quantum Jacobi identities to be satisfied

$$2\eta^{\alpha\beta}\delta^\gamma_\delta-\eta^{\gamma\alpha}\delta^\beta_\delta-\eta^{\beta\gamma}\delta^\alpha_\delta-\frac{\sigma_0}{2}(\lambda^{a,\beta\gamma}\lambda^{a,\alpha}_{\ \ \delta}-\lambda^{a,\gamma\alpha}\lambda^{a,\beta}_{\ \ \delta})=0, \tag{4}$$

for some real σ_0. Actually, taking the trace in the indices γ and δ, one finds that if the identity (4) holds for the matrix elements of the representation ρ then

$$\sigma_0 = -\frac{2\varepsilon(d+\varepsilon)}{C_\rho} = -\frac{2\varepsilon d(d+\varepsilon)}{\psi^2 D i_\rho}. \tag{5}$$

At this stage the problem of classification of superconformal (quasisuperconformal) algebras is reduced to the classification problem for pairs of simple Lie algebras g and their orthogonal (symplectic) representations ρ satisfying Eq.(4). For orthogonal representations ($\varepsilon = -1$) considered in Ref.[2] it is equivalent to the classification of little conformal Lie superalgebras with even subalgebras $sl_2 \oplus g$ with simple g (Cases I, V and VI in our classification). For symplectic ρ ($\varepsilon = 1$) it is equivalent to Cartan's classification of symmetric subalgebras of the form $\mathcal{G}_0 = sl_2 \oplus g$ (with simple g) in simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1$ ($\mathcal{G}_1$ transforms in the representation (2,ρ) of $\mathcal{G}_0$ (with irreducible ρ)) as discussed in Note Added to (I) (Cases I and IV-VIII in our list given therein).

Now one can verify (e.g. by taking advantage of the tables of Ref.[8]) that in all the cases when (4) does hold one has

$$S^2\rho = \pi \oplus Id \quad (\Lambda^2\rho = \pi \oplus Id) \qquad \text{for} \quad \varepsilon = -1 (\varepsilon = 1) \tag{6}$$

with some irreducible π, and $\pi \oplus Id \in S^2 adg$. Therefore the interwining operator P is defined up to two constants, one being its overall normalization. Actually it can be chosen in a form

$$P_{ab} = \{\lambda_a, \lambda_b\} + 2\nu\delta_{ab}\mathbf{I} \tag{7}$$

($P_{ab} := (P_{ab})^\alpha_\beta$, $P^{\alpha\beta}_{ab} = \eta^{\beta\gamma}(P_{ab})^\alpha_\gamma$). Simple calculation shows that Eq.(3a) is satisfied if and only if

$$\nu = -\frac{\varepsilon C_\rho}{d+\varepsilon} \tag{8}$$

(at this value of ν it reduces to the identify (4)).

Thus the only free parameters to play with are k, σ and γ (b is expressed in terms of σ and k by Eq.(3d), and $c = \frac{1}{2}(3b + \gamma\psi^2\varphi k)$ with $\varphi = 2C_\rho(D + \varepsilon d + 1)/(d+2)$ due to Eq.(3c) and the relation $P^{\alpha\beta}_{aa} = \varphi\eta^{\alpha\beta}$).Parameters σ and γ can be found from the identity (3e). Indeed, taking into account an identity

$$f^{ace}f^{bde}P_{cd} = \alpha\{\lambda^a, \lambda^b\} + \beta\delta^{ab}\mathbf{I}, \tag{9a}$$

where

$$\alpha = C_v - \frac{C_\pi}{2}, \quad \beta = 2\nu C_v - \frac{C_\pi C_\rho}{D}, \tag{9b}$$

which follows from Eqs.(7), (8) and the branching rule (6)(C_π is an eigenvalue of the second Casimir in π), it is easy to verify that (3e) is equivalent to the following two relations among σ, γ and k

$$\varepsilon\sigma + 2\gamma(\psi^2 k + \alpha) = 0, \tag{10a}$$

$$2 - \gamma(2\psi^2\nu k + \beta) = 0. \tag{10b}$$

In this way we are left with the only free parameter k.

However, Eq.(3b) imposes one more restriction on σ, γ and k

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + 2\gamma\chi, \quad \chi := -\frac{d(D+\varepsilon d+1)}{D}(\frac{C_\rho}{d+\varepsilon})^2, \tag{11}$$

which follows from the identity

$$P_{ab}^{\alpha\beta}\lambda^{a,\gamma}{}_{\rho}\lambda^{b,\rho}{}_{\delta} = \chi(2\eta^{\alpha\beta}\delta_\delta^\gamma - \eta^{\gamma\alpha}\delta_\delta^\beta - \eta^{\beta\gamma}\delta_\delta^\alpha) \tag{12}$$

following in turn from the crucial identity (4). Comparing (10a), (10b) and (11), we obtain a non-trivial consistency condition

$$\frac{i_\pi}{i_\rho} = \frac{2d_\pi}{d+\varepsilon}, \quad d_\pi := dim\pi = \frac{d(d-\varepsilon)}{2} - 1 \tag{13}$$

(where $i_\pi = d_\pi C_\pi / D\psi^2$ is Dynkin's index of π). It represents a sufficient condition in order the quantum algebra (1) to exist. It guarantees that there does not appear any obstruction to quantization spoiling the quantum Jacobi identities. The condition (13) seems at first sight quite restrictive. However, as straightforward verification shows, it does take place in all the cases when the necessary condition (4) holds. So we find no obstructions to quantization for all the Poisson bracket superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras. In Refs.[1] and [2] we studied two concrete examples, N=7,8 exceptional algebras associated to $G(3)$ and $F(4)$, and saw that the quantum Jacobi identities were satisfied owing to various remarkable octonionic identities. The condition (13) provides a universal criterion for cancellation of quantum anomalies in the Jacobi identities.

Finally, solving the equations (10a), (10b) and taking into account Eq.(13), we arrive at the following general expressions

$$\gamma = \frac{d(d+\varepsilon)}{\psi^4 D i_\rho (k + h_g^\vee + \varepsilon i_\rho)}, \tag{14a}$$

$$\sigma = -\frac{2\varepsilon d[(d+\varepsilon)(k+h_g^\vee) - Di_\rho]}{Di_\rho(k+h_g^\vee+\varepsilon i_\rho)}, \tag{14b}$$

$$b = \frac{k\psi^2\sigma}{2}, \tag{14c}$$

$$c = \frac{3}{2}b + \frac{(D+\varepsilon d+1)k}{k+h_g^\vee+\varepsilon i_\rho}$$

$$= -\frac{3\varepsilon d(d+\varepsilon)k}{2Di_\rho} + \frac{(D+\varepsilon d+1)(2D+3\varepsilon d)k}{2D(k+h_g^\vee+\varepsilon i_\rho)}. \tag{14d}$$

The above formulas express all the quantities through the KM level k which remains arbitrary. In Table 1 all the necessary group-theoretic data are collected which provide the possibility to treat every concrete case. Substituting the relevant quantities to Eqs.(14) one convinces oneself that for $g = so_N, \rho = N$ they coincide with the expressions for SO(N)-extended superconformal algebras of Refs.[9],[10], for $g = G_2$, $\rho = 7$ - for N=7 exceptional

superalgebra of Ref.[1], for g=$Spin(7)$, $\rho = 8_s$ - for exceptional superalgebra of Ref.[2], for $g = sp_{2M}$, $\rho = 2M$ - for the quasisuperconformal algebra of Ref.[5], for last five exceptional cases it gives five new exceptional quasisuperconformal algebras the existence of which has been conjectured in Ref.[2].

Now let us turn to a more general situation when g is reductive and ρ is not necessarily irreducible. The necessary condition for the quasisuperconformal algebra to exist can now be formulated as a condition for the existence of a simple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ possessing a symmetric subalgebra $\mathcal{G}_0 = sl_2 \oplus g$ with the supplementary subspace $\mathcal{G}_1$ transforming in $(2,\rho)$, where g and ρ are no longer assumed to be simple and irreducible, respectively. Looking at the list of symmetric subalgebras of simple Lie algebras, one finds two relevant cases in addition to the six cases with simple g already considered: $\mathcal{G} = sl_{N+2}$, $g = gl_N$, $\rho = N \oplus \bar{N}$ and $\mathcal{G} = so_{N+4}$, $g = sl_2 \oplus so_N$, $\rho = (2, N)$ (cases II and III in our list given in Note Added to (I), respectively). While the quasisuperconformal algebra associated with sl_{N+2} was constructed in Refs.[3], [4], the case $\mathcal{G} = so_{N+4}$ seems to be not explored previously. We will present the results below in this paper. All the possibilities for both super and quasisuperconformal algebras with non-simple g are summarized in Table 2.

In Ref.[6] more general class of algebras has been considered. Therein dimension-3/2 currents G^α_r carry some representation ρ of a Lie superalgebra g, rather than Lie algebra, and dimension-one currents J^a_n are in the adjoint of g. Depending on whether the indices are even (odd), the corresponding currents are bosonic (fermionic). There is a natural $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-grading in the algebras of this category. In Ref.[6] two series of such $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-graded superconformal algebras have been constructed. They are associated with pairs ($g = osp(N|2M)$, $\rho = N+2M$) and ($g = sl(N|M)$, $\rho = N+M$) and combine superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras together. In Note Added to (I) we conjectured the existence of a third $\mathbf{Z_2} \times \mathbf{Z_2}$-graded series with $g = sp_2 \oplus osp(N|M)$ and $\rho = (2, N+2m)$ which combined the superconformal series with $g = sp_2 \oplus sp_{2M}$ discovered in (I) and quasisuperconformal series with $g = sp_2 \oplus so_N$ [5]. Now we proceed to describe this item explicitly.

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} = 1, 2, \ldots, N + 2M$ be indices in the fundamental representation of $osp(N|2M)$. They are handled with the help of an orthosymplectic metric $B^{\mathcal{AB}} = -(-1)^{P_\mathcal{A} P_\mathcal{B}} B^{\mathcal{BA}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{AB}}$, $B_{\mathcal{AC}} B^{\mathcal{BC}} = \delta^\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{A}$, where $P_\mathcal{A}$ is a Grassmann parity of the index $\mathcal{A}$. We assume the first 2M values of $\mathcal{A}$ denoted by A to be even ($P_A = 0$) and the last N denoted by a to be odd ($P_a = 1$) so that $B^{\mathcal{AB}}$ is block-diagonal: $B^{AB} := E^{AB} = -E^{BA}$, $B^{ab} = -\delta^{ab}$ and $B^{Ab} = B^{bA} = 0$. Then our $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-graded superconformal algebra involves dimension-3/2 currents $G^{\alpha\mathcal{A}}_r$ ($n(G^{\alpha\mathcal{A}}_r) = P_\mathcal{A} + 1$) and dimension-one currents $J^{\mathcal{AB}}_m = (-1)^{P_\mathcal{A} P_\mathcal{B}} J^{\mathcal{AB}}_m$ ($n(J^{\mathcal{AB}}_m) = P_\mathcal{A} + P_\mathcal{B}$), along with L_m and $\widehat{sp_2}$ currents $J^{\alpha\beta}_m = J^{\beta\alpha}_m$ (α, β=1,2). Here $n(\cdot)$ denotes a boson-fermion parity of currents. It satisfies the following mode algebra (obvious commutators with L_m and $J^{\alpha\beta}_m$ are omitted)

$$[J^{\mathcal{AB}}_m, J^{\mathcal{CD}}_n\} = B^{\mathcal{BC}} J^{\mathcal{AD}}_{m+n} + B^{\mathcal{AD}} J^{\mathcal{BC}}_{m+n} + B^{\mathcal{AC}} J^{\mathcal{DB}}_{m+n} + B^{\mathcal{BD}} J^{\mathcal{CA}}_{m+n}$$

$$-k_1(B^{\mathcal{AC}} B^{\mathcal{BD}} - B^{\mathcal{CB}} B^{\mathcal{AD}}) m \delta_{m+n,0}, \qquad (15a)$$

$$[J^{\mathcal{AB}}_m, G^{\alpha\mathcal{C}}_r\} = B^{\mathcal{BC}} G^{\alpha\mathcal{A}}_{m+r} - B^{\mathcal{CA}} G^{\alpha\mathcal{B}}_{m+r}, \qquad (15b)$$

$$[G_r^{\alpha\mathcal{A}}, G_s^{\beta\mathcal{B}}] = 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}B^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}L_{r+s} + (r-s)[\sigma_1\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}J_{r+s}^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} + \sigma_2 B^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}J_{r+s}^{\alpha\beta}]$$

$$+\frac{b}{2}(r^2-\frac{1}{4})\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}B^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\delta_{r+s,0} + \gamma(JJ)_{r+s}^{\alpha A,\beta B}, \tag{15c}$$

$$(JJ)_m^{\alpha\mathcal{A},\beta\mathcal{B}} = 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}B^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}\{\varepsilon_{\alpha_1\beta_1}\varepsilon_{\alpha_2\beta_2}(J^{\alpha_1\alpha_2}J^{\beta_1\beta_2})_m - B_{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{B}_1}B_{\mathcal{A}_2\mathcal{B}_2}(J^{\mathcal{A}_1\mathcal{A}_2}J^{\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2})_m\}$$

$$+8(J^{\alpha\beta}J^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}})_m + 4\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}B_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}\{(J^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}}J^{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}})_m - (J^{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}}J^{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}})_m\} \tag{16}$$

(the supercommutators are defined as usual $[A,B\} = AB - (-1)^{n(\mathcal{A})n(\mathcal{B})}BA$, where $n(\cdot)$ is a boson-fermion parity defined above). All the constants are fixed by the super Jacobi identities in terms of one free parameter k:

$$k_1 = -(k+2M-N+4)/2, \quad k_2 = k, \tag{17a}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2(k-2M+N+4)}, \tag{17b}$$

$$b = -4k(k+2M-N+4)\gamma, \tag{17c}$$

$$c = \frac{3}{2}b + [12k + (2M-N+1)(2M-N-4)(k+2M-N+4)]\gamma, \tag{17d}$$

$$\sigma_1 = -4k\gamma, \quad \sigma_2 = 2(k+2M-N+4)\gamma. \tag{17e}$$

At N=0 the above formulas coincide with those in (I) describing the superconformal algebra with $g = sp_2 \oplus sp_{2M}$. At M=0 they define a quasisuperconformal algebra with $g = sp_2 \oplus so_N$ promised above.

Above we have considered all the algebras to be complex. Let us now turn to their real forms. A real form of interest in quantum conformal field theory is one in which $\hat{g}$ is a compact affine KM algebra. In the basis chosen in (1c) it is extracted by the standard hermiticity condition

$$(J_n^a)^\dagger = J_{-n}^a. \tag{18}$$

In superconformal algebras ($\varepsilon = -1$) the representation ρ is orthogonal and consequently one is always able to choose a basis where $\eta^{\alpha\beta} = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$ and

$$(G_r^\alpha)^\dagger = G_{-r}^\alpha. \tag{19}$$

However in quasisuperconformal algebras ($\varepsilon = 1$) ρ symplectic and consequently, for a compact form of g, ρ appears to be pseudoreal, rather than real (in particular, the fundamental representation of su_2 is pseudoreal and it is not possible to define real Euclidean two-component spinors). The corresponding pseudoreality condition reads as follows

$$(G_r^\alpha)^\dagger = i\eta_{\alpha\beta}G_{-r}^\beta \tag{20}$$

(one can verify that it preserves the form of the commutation relations (1)). One has to insert an imaginary unit in Eq.(20) in order to provide $\dagger^2 = Id$. Thus, with the exception of the algebra based on sl_{N+2}, quasisuperconformal algebras possess no real forms with compact g and real ρ simultaneously, in contrast with usual superconformal ones. It results in difficulties with unitarity. In case associated with sl_{N+2} a compact real form does exist owing to the reducibility of ρ. It is based on the real form $su(N+1,1)$ of $sl(N+2;\mathbf{C})$ [3], [4].

To summarize, the present paper together with Refs.[1] and [2] constructively solves the classification problem for quantum superconformal algebras with quadratic non-linearity. We have shown that superconformal algebras in question exist if and only if there exist corresponding finite-dimensional structures (little conformal Lie superalgebras for superconformal algebras with the standard spin-statistics relation, and $\mathbf{Z_2} \times \mathbf{Z_2}$-graded simple Lie algebras with symmetric subalgebras $\mathcal{G}_0$ of the form $sl_2 \oplus g$ and $\mathcal{G}_1 = (2, \rho)$ for quasisuperconformal algebras; see Tables 1,2 and 3). Meanwhile the second part (only if) of this correspondence is not unexpected, the first part (if) is quite non-trivial. Indeed we have seen that the existence of a finite-dimensional algebra is just a necessary condition. The fact that it also proves to be sufficient (Eq.(13)) seems quite surprizing. It would be interesting to find out if there are some deep geometrical reasons for quantum anomalies in the Jacobi identities to cancel.

In this paper we have been concerned with algebras involving operators with scale dimensions not higher than two. It is also of interest to study algebras involving higher spins and to obtain complete classification of chiral W-algebras. Our results suggest that quantum super W-algebras are in one-to-one correspondence with (perhaps chains of) maximal subalgebras of simple Lie (super)algebras.[1] At the classical level it is in fact realized in the general scheme of the Drinfeld-Sokolov Hamiltonian reduction [15]. However at the quantum level a problem is whether any non-linear Poisson bracket W-(super)algebra can be consistently quantized (i.e. whether there appear no anomalies in the quantum Jacobi identities). Probably there might exist some generalization of our anomaly cancellation condition (13) to the more general class of non-linear W-(super)algebras.

Acknowledgements

One of the authors, E.S.Fradkin, would like to thank C.N.Yang and Warren Siegel for their hospitality.

Note Added

After this paper was completed we became aware of a preprint by P.Bowcock [16] where N=7 exceptional and symplecticaly extended superconformal algebras of Ref.[1] also were obtained.

[1]In this paper we are concerned only with algebras associated to finite-dimensional structures and parametrized by one free parameter.At the same time there are a large number of W-(super)algebras known at present not associated with any finite-dimensional (super)algebras. However all of them exist only for a finite set of particular values of the Virasoro central charge and seem to be certain truncations of larger algebras related with finite-dimensional algebras (see e.g. Ref.[14] and references therein).

$\mathcal{G}$	g	D	$h_g^\vee$	ρ	i_ρ	i_π
superconformal ($\varepsilon = -1$)						
$osp(N\|4)$	so_N	$N(N-1)/2$	$N-2$	N	1	$N+2$
$F(4)$	so_7	21	5	8_s	1	10
$G(3)$	G_2	14	4	7	1	9
quasisuperconformal ($\varepsilon = 1$)						
sp_{2M+2}	sp_{2M}	$M(2M+1)$	$M+1$	$2M$	1/2	$M-1$
E_6	sl_6	35	6	20	3	54
E_7	so_{12}	66	10	$32'$	4	16
E_8	E_7	133	18	56	6	324
F_4	sp_6	21	4	$14'$	5/2	30
G_2	sl_2	3	2	4	5/2	5

Table 1.Superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras with simple g and irreducible ρ.

$\mathcal{G}$	g	ρ
superconformal ($\varepsilon = -1$)		
$sl(2\|N)$	gl_N	$N \oplus \bar{N}$
$sl(2\|2)/so(2)$	sl_2	$2 \oplus \bar{2}$
$osp(4\|2M)$	$sp_2 \oplus sp_{2M}$	$(2, 2M)$
$D(1, 2; \alpha)$	$sp_2 \oplus sp_2$	(2,2)
quasisuperconformal ($\varepsilon = 1$)		
sl_{N+2}	gl_N	$N \oplus \bar{N}$
so_{N+4}	$sp_2 \oplus so_N$	$(2, N)$

Table 2.Superconformal and quasisuperconformal algebras with non-simple g and/or irreducible ρ.

$\mathcal{G}$	g	ρ
$osp(N\|2M+2)$	$osp(N\|2M)$	$N\|2M$
$sl(N\|M+2)$	$sl(N\|M)$	$N\|M$
$osp(N+4\|2M)$	$sp_2 \oplus osp(N\|2M)$	$(2, N\|2M)$

Table 3.$\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$-graded superconformal algebras.

References

1. E.S.Fradkin and V.Ya.Linetsky, Zurich preprint ETH-TH/92-6(Feb. 92)
(*Phys.Lett.B*, to be published)
2. E.S.Fradkin and V.Ya.Linetsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B275** (1992) 345
3. A.M.Polyakov, in "Physics and Mathematics of strings", World Scientific,1990;
M.Bershadsky, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **139** (1991) 71.
4. F.A.Bais, T.Tjin and P. van Driel, *Nucl.Phys.* **B357** (1991) 632;
J.Fuchs,preprint CERN-TH.6040/91.
5. L.J.Romans, *Nucl.Phys.* **B357** (1991) 549;
F.Defever,Ph.D. Thesis, Leuven 1991.
6. F.Defever, W.Troost and Z.Hasiewicz, *Phys.Lett.* **B273** (1991) 51.
7. P.Goddard and D.Olive, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A1** (1986) 303.
8. Slansky, *Phys.Rep.* **79** (1981) 1.
9. V.G.Knizhnik, *Theor.Math.Phys.***66** (1986) 68.
10. M.Bershadsky, *Phys.Lett.* **B174** (1986) 285.
11. P.Ramond, *Phys.Rev.* **D3** (1971) 2415;
A.Neveu and J.H.Schwarz, *Nucl.Phys.* **B31** (1971) 86.
12. M.Ademollo et al., *Phys.Lett.* **B62** (1976) 105;
Nucl.Phys. **B111** (1976) 77; **B114** (1976) 297;
P.Ramond and J.H.Schwarz, *Phys.Lett.* **B65** (1976) 75.
13. A.Sevrin, W.Troost and A.Van Proeyen, *Phys.Lett.* **B208** (1988) 447;
K.Schoutens, *Nucl.Phys.* **B295** (1988) 634;
P.Goddard and A.Schwimmer, *Phys.Lett.* **B214** (1988) 209.
14. R.Blumenhagen et al., *Nucl.Phys.* **B361** (1991) 255.
15. V.I.Drinfeld and V.Sokolov,*J.Sov.Math.***30** (1984) 1975.
16. P.Bowcock,Preprint Chicago EFI 92-09 (Feb. 92).